

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D © 20241 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/991,818	11/19/2001	Michael J. Jungbauer	20010389.ORI	7141
23595 75	90 02:05:2003			
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.			EXAMINER	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 820			BARRY, CHESTER T	
MINNEAPOLI	MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	_
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	\cap

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/991,818	JUNGBAUER, MICHAEL
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Chester T. Barry	1724
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
- External filter of the control of	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication are period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reproduction of the provision of the provisions of the provision	1. 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a septy within the statutory minimum of this dividing apply and will expire SIX (6) MO life, cause the application to become 4	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this communication
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	November 2001	
2a)□		This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O G. 213
	Claim(s) $1-4$ is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[:	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	or	
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2001</u> is/a		biograph of the Mark Commission of
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		
/ 🗀	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		isapproved by the Examiner.
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, ,	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 35 H S C	\$ 119(2) (d) or (f)
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in phonty under 55 0.5.6.	g 119(a)-(d) or (1).
-/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		polication No
	_		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		
Attachment			
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) it in Discourse Office and a Dramatic Control (Control (Co	4. Therview S 5) Motice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots (

e introduces 54 cm

Application/Control Number: 09/991,818

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1 – 4 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as clearly anticipated by USP 6485647 to Potts. Potts describes a waste stream of human waste leading to an aerated septic tank (col 16, 63-64) and further to and aerated leach field (drain field). As is well known, human waste includes bacteria (e.g., <u>E. coli</u>) and nutrients. Perhaps less well-known is the fact that human waste also includes enzymes, as shown, for example, by USP 5580565 to Tighe.

References C – G are cited of interest, several of which describe aerated septic tanks from which effluent flows to a drain field or leach field. Clark suggest aerating a drain field to improve percolation thereof (col 2 lines 10- 15). Hence, claims 1 – 4 are unpatentable under §103 as well, e.g., any one of ref. C, E – H in view of Clark (ref. C).

Respectfully,

Chester T Barry

Primary Examiner, GAU 1/724

United States Patent & Trademark Office

703-306-5921 direct voice